

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/762,331	01/23/2004	Doo-Young Ryu	1594.1315	4947	
21171 . 75	90 06/03/2005		EXAMINER		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			LU, ЛРING		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YO	RK AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	•		3749		
•			DATE MAILED, 06/02/200	DATE MAIL ED. 04/02/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/762,331	RYU, DOO-YOUNG		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jiping Lu	3749		

	•		l		
	Jiping Lu	3749			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 May 1005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	`		
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since		
AMENDMENTS					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bei appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	. , .	mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inplicate / unonamone	(1 1 0 L 0 L 1).		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1,3-8 and 10-18. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 19 and 20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wivided below or appended.	II be entered and an e	explanation of		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	at before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	and the status of the claims after e	Titry is below or attack	ieu.		
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s). <u>1/26/2005</u>			
13.  Other:					
		Jiping Lu Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3749			

Continuation of 3, NOTE: the newly added claim 21 raises new issues and need further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the unamended claims 19-20 failed to define over the art of record. It is well known in the heat exchange art to use heat pipe for exchanging heat in order to conser energy. As evidenced by Taylor (U. S. Pat. 4,103,433) cited and recognized by the the applicant in the IDS filed1/26/2005 which clearly shows the concept of using heat pipe for exchanging heat in the clothes dryer. It is also noted that the examiner on page 4 lines 6 and 18 of the last office action, has held such combination to be well known in the art. The applicant failed to answer examiner's assertion in the office action. The examiner now considers the failure to be acquiescent to such well known feature.